

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,342	01/21/2004	Hung-Wen Lin	LINH3024/EM	9780
23364	7590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2832	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				()			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- ()			
Office Action Summary		10/760,342	LIN, HUNG-WEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	_	Lincoln Donovan	2832				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communical Reply	tion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	dress			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 3 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will ply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6, by statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
·		☐ This action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the a a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration					
Applicatio	n Papers		•				
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ T	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	Ŧ · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the higher than the oath or declaration is objected to be						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International ce the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the International certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copi	cuments have been received cuments have been received the priority documents have but I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this National \$	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Pape	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/760,342

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 3, "axle" should be corrected as --- axis ---. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2, applicant should clarify the specific structure intended by the conductors "partially contact each other while stacked upon one another."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. [US 6,587,025] in view of Uriu et al. [US 6,911,887].

Smith et al. disclose a multi-layer chip inductive element [figures 3 & 5] comprising: at least two inductors connected with each other [figure 4] with each of the inductors having a longitudinal axis parallel to the other and having a plurality of layers

Application/Control Number: 10/760,342

Art Unit: 2832

stacked upon one another in sectors with the inductive coils of each two adjacent inductors being conversely coiled and partially contacting each other [figures 3 & 5].

Smith et al. disclose everything claimed except for the stacks of layers being supported on insulating ceramic.

Uriu et al. disclose a stack of inductor layers [abstract, figure 1] having the inductive layers formed on insulating ceramic.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use insulating ceramic for the layers of Smith et al., as suggested by Uriu et al., in order to provide rigidity to the inductor structure and insulate the layers from one another.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tetsuhiko et al. [US 6,903645], Hasegawa et al. [US 4,959,631], Magaffigan et al. [US 5,376,774] and Liu et al. [US 6,559,751].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd